

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 CASE NO. 5:22-cv-00949-KK-(SHKx)

11 *Assigned for All Purposes to:*  
12 *Hon. Kenly K. Kato – Courtroom 3*

13 **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING**  
14 **COUNTY DEFENDANTS' MOTION**  
15 **IN LIMINE NO. 2 TO EXCLUDE**  
16 **TESTIMONY, EVIDENCE,**  
**ARGUMENT REGARDING**  
**EXPERT CLARK'S OPINIONS AND**  
**REPORT**

17 Date: May 15, 2025  
18 Time: 9:30 a.m.  
Courtroom: 3

19 *Trial Date: June 2, 2025*

20 *Complaint filed: 06/07/2022*  
21 *FAC filed: 10/18/22*  
22 *SAC filed: 01/13/23*  
23 *TAC filed: 05/12/23*

11 L.C., a minor by and through her  
12 guardian *ad litem* Maria Cadena,  
13 individually and as successor-in-interest  
14 to Hector Mr. Puga; I.H., a minor by  
15 and through his guardian *ad litem*  
16 Jasmine Hernandez, individually and as  
successor-in-interest to Hector Mr.  
Puga; A.L., a minor by and through her  
guardian *ad litem* Lydia Lopez,  
individually and as successor-in-interest  
to Hector Mr. Puga; and ANTONIA  
SALAS UBALDO, individually,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 vs.

19 STATE OF CALIFORNIA; COUNTY  
20 OF SAN BERNARDINO; S.S.C., a  
21 nominal defendant; ISIAH KEE;  
22 MICHAEL BLACKWOOD;  
23 BERNARDO RUBALCAVA;  
24 ROBERT VACCARI; JAKE ADAMS;  
and DOES 6-10, inclusive,

25 Defendants.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

On April 17, 2023, County Defendants filed their Motions *in Limine* No. 2 to exclude certain portions of Roger Clark's opinions.

The Court, having considered Defendants' Motion *in limine*, all moving papers, evidence, and oral argument associated therewith and finding good cause therefore, hereby GRANTS the Motion.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
HONORABLE KENLY KIYAKATO  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE